



# Evaluation “National Anti-Drug Plans/Strategies” Program

Inter-American Drug Abuse Control Commission (CICAD/OAS)

Charles Fortin, PhD – External Consultant

May, 2006

# Historical Context (I)

## Institutional Strengthening (Evaluations 1 & 2)

### 1. XXXVII Regular Session

Institutional Building Unit – Program to Strengthen  
National Anti-Drug Systems:

- > Evaluation of the CICAD Program
- > Hemispheric Coverage of National Strategies

# Historical Context (II)

## Institutional Strengthening

### 2. XXXIX Regular Session

External Consultant:

- > Institutional efforts
- > Methodology developed
- > Feasibility of the strategy
- > Overall achievements with CICAD support

# Components of the Evaluation

## National Plan document

- > Participation by public officials
- > Process of Plan development and approval

## Strengthening of the National Commission

- > Inter-institutional communication
- > Policies, strategies and programs
- > Institutional collaboration for implementation

## Impact of the Strategy on Country (with CICAD assistance)

- > Political will
- > Results of policies and programs
- > Quantifiable results

# Criteria for Evaluation of “National Plans/ Strategies” Program

Relevance:	Validity of government objectives, policies and programs
Evaluability:	Appropriate systems and processes of monitoring and evaluation
Consistency:	Integration of challenges, policies, strategies, programs, projects, execution, monitoring, achievements, budget
Efficiency:	Participation, process and agility in National Plan formulation and approval
Effectiveness:	Achievements, impacts of Plan implementation

# Conclusions & Recommendations

## 1. Relevance Factors

- > Legislation subsequent to Plan
- > Consulted for overall guidance
- > Participation and ownership
- > Commitment of key actors
- > Means available for implementation

## Irrelevance Factors

- > Subsequent Plan revised with less participation
- > Actors have less influence in Plan content
- > Actors have less influence in decision making
- > Collaborators receive few benefits from the process

# Conclusions & Recommendations

## 2. Evaluation

- > Monitoring & evaluation systems
- > Coordination among organizations
- > Indicators of progress and success
- > Baseline data (prevention & supply reduction)
- > Numbers
- > Timetables
- > Objectives
  - > Accomplishments
  - > Good practices
  - > Deficiencies
  - > Opportunities
  - > Adjustments
  - > Decisions
  - > Budget allocations

# Conclusions & Recommendations

## 3. Consistency

- > Linkages between causes & strategic objectives
- > Empirical base for
  - > Detection of change
  - > Analysis of results
  - > Lessons learned
  - > Future adjustments
- > Desired results
  - > Justifications (rationale)
  - > Compatibility between programs & projects
  - > Integration among actors
  - > Integration of interventions
  - > Feasibility



# Conclusions & Recommendations

## 4. Efficiency

- > Key support by CICAD
- > Convergence of anti-drug groups
- > Workshops and follow-up
- > Consensus regarding problem issues
- > Formulation of policies & strategies
- > Project development with budgets
- > Formal approval and political will

# Conclusions & Recommendations

## 5. Effectiveness

- > Implementation
- > Legal foundation
- > Institutional capacity
- > Decentralized systems
- > Institutionalized systems
- > Priorities *vis-à-vis* prevention, treatment, rehabilitation, interdictions
- > Appropriate allocation of resources
- > Institutional coordination
- > Commitment

# Model for National Commissions

## Key Functions

- |                 |   |                      |
|-----------------|---|----------------------|
| 1. Coordination | < | Leadership           |
| 2. Planning     | < | Policies, strategies |
| 3. Advising     | < | Guidance             |
| 4. Evaluation   | < | Monitoring           |

# Indicators of Institutional Change

## Institutional Maturity

Indicators	Incipient (I)	Conditional (II)	Sustained (III)
Resources			
Collaboration			
Results			

# Stages of Institutional Maturity

## 1. Initial

- > In process of formulating policies
- > Recruiting technical staff
- > Limited resources available (internal and external)
- > Shortage of up-to-date equipment

## 2. Intermediate

- > General lack of practical experience
- > Staff undergoing training
- > Objectives defined; receives external assistance
- > Reciprocal relations with affiliated organizations

## 3. Advanced

- > Requests for guidance from other agencies
- > Access to predictable budget resources (political will)
- > Capacity to establish realistic priorities
- > Operates with relative independence

# Institutional Inter-Actions

	National Commission	Prevention	Treatment	Supply Reduction	NGOs
National Commission					
Prevention					
Treatment					
Supply Reduction					
NGOs					

# Conclusions & Recommendations

## Strengthening of National Commissions

- > Mission and priorities are established
- > Regulations with staff functions and attributions
- > Appropriate organizational structure
- > Staff – qualified, stable, sufficient
- > Technical staff for each function, e.g.
  - > Data, statistics, research
  - > Monitoring and evaluation
- > Physical installations
- > Equipment
- > Means of communication and transportation

# Impacts: Conclusions & Recommendations

## Achievements & Challenges

- > Participation and collaboration
- > National Plan
- > Political commitment
- > Appropriate legislation
- > Organizational restructuring
- > Coordination of project implementation
- > Access to resources
- > Prevention activities
- > Confiscation of drugs and goods, money
- > Training
- > Performance and institutional image
- > Dedicated and qualified staff